# Middleton Westport Joint Zoning Committee Agenda <br> 3/28/2018 <br> 5:30 PM <br> To be Held in Council Chambers at City Hall 7426 Hubbard Avenue, Middleton WI, 53562 <br> Posted on the City's web site at meetings.cityofmiddleton.us/ 

## Roll Call

Public Hearing

## Approval of Minutes

1.) Approve Minutes of $\mathbf{2} / \mathbf{2} / \mathbf{1 8}$

## Agenda Items

1.) Proposed General Implementation Plan (GIP) Amendment, The Community of Bishops Bay, The Back Nine Neighborhood, Increased Density (Amending Map \#3) and Proposed Notre Dame Project (PC 2189a and 2508)

## Adjournment

Posted: 3/23/18, 9:30 am
It is possible that members of and possibly a quorum of members of other governmental bodies of the municipality may be in attendance at this meeting to gather information; however, no action will be taken by any governmental body at this meeting other than the governmental body specifically referenced in this notice.

Any person who has a qualifying disability as defined by the American With Disabilities Act that requires the meeting or materials at the meeting to be in an accessible location or format must contact the City Administrator at (608) 821-8350, 7426 Hubbard Ave., Middleton, WI at least 24 hours prior to the commencement of the meeting so that any necessary arrangements can be made to accommodate each request.

# MINUTES <br> MIDDLETON/WESTPORT JOINT ZONING COMMITTEE <br> THURSDAY FEBRUARY 22, $2018 \quad 5: 30$ pm 

PRESENT: Dean Grosskopf, Ken Sipsma and Cyndi Kennedy, Town of Westport; Dennis Dorn, City of Middleton
ABSENT: Kurt Paulsen, Leif Hubbard, City of Middleton
ALSO PRESENT: Eileen Kelley, Tom Wilson

1. Call to Order

Chair Dorn called the meeting to order at 5:53 p.m.
2. Approve Minutes of $\mathbf{1 2} / \mathbf{1 4 / 1 7}$

Moved by Grosskopf, seconded by Sipsma, to approve the minutes of 12/14/17. Motion carried 4-0.
3. Concept Review, Notre Dame Village at The Back Nine, The Community of Bishops Bay, Lot 4 of CSM 14030 (PC 2508)

Staff distributed a memo with items that had been submitted after the meeting packet was mailed both summarized and attached. The items include:

- Proposed revised site plan from the applicant
- Memo from Terrence Wall dated 2-19-18
- Email from the Fire District
- Letter and plat copy from the developer of Callaway Ct .

Grosskopf stated that although he likes this revised plan better than the original proposal, he still thinks this is too much development on this lot that was shown as parking and tree easement in the Specific Implementation Plan. Although no action was taken, the consensus of the Joint Committee was: there is no compelling reason for the change; the proposed change is not consistent with the approved Specific Implementation Plan; this could be precedent setting; and the access to the site is difficult.

## 4. Adjourn

Moved by Sipsma, seconded by Grosskopf, to adjourn. Motion carried 4-0, and the meeting adjourned at 6:26 p.m.

EILEEN KELLEY

# NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING REQUEST FOR AMENDMENT of GENERAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN 

## To Whom It May Concern:

At a meeting of the Middleton Plan Commission to be held on Tuesday, November 14, 2017 at 7:05 pm, at Middleton City Hall, 7426 Hubbard Ave., Middleton, the following matter will be heard:

An application by The Community of Bishops Bay, LLC requesting an amendment to the Community of Bishops Bay General Implementation Plan (GIP) for property legally described as follows (which is the Back Nine Neighborhood):

Lots 1 and 2, Certified Survey Map No. 5690, recorded in Volume 26 Of Certified Surveys, pages 249-250 as Document No. 2115682, part of Lot 2, Certified Survey Map No. 2833, recorded In Volume 11 of Certified Surveys, pages 173-175 as Document No. 1573531 and other lands, all being located in part of the SW $1 / 4$, NW $1 / 4$ and NE $1 / 4$ of the SE $1 / 4$ and also part of the SW $1 / 4$ and SE $1 / 4$ of the NE $1 / 4$ of Section 31 , Township 8 North, Range 9 East, in the City Of Middleton, Dane County, Wisconsin, described as follows:

Commencing at the South Quarter Corner of said Section 31; thence N $00^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 1442.72$ feet along the West line of the said SE $1 / 4$; thence N $89^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 577^{\prime \prime}$ E, 77.86 feet to the intersection with the Easterly right-of-way of County Trunk Highway "Q", being the southwest corner of Lot 1 , Dane County Certified Survey Map No. 13142 and the Point of Beginning; thence N $89^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 270.01$ feet along the south line of said Lot 1 ; thence $\mathrm{N} 32^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 435.18$ feet along an easterly side of said Lot 1 ; thence $\mathrm{N} 09^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 571.29$ feet along an easterly side of said Lot 1 ; thence $\mathrm{N} 02^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 200.73$ feet along an easterly side of said Lot 1 ; thence $\mathrm{N} 28^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 86.36$ feet along an easterly side of said Lot 1 ; thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 556.00$ feet along the North line of the said NW $1 / 4$ of the $\mathrm{SE} 1 / 4$ of Section 31 ; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 00$ " E, 10.65 feet along the said Easterly right-of-way of County Trunk Highway "Q"; thence S $89^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime}$ E, 737.85 feet along the south line of Dane County Certified Survey Map Number 2833; thence S $00^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 2.50$ feet; thence N $89^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 110.00$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2.31$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 146.00 feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 14.67$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 95.00$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 13.89$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 56.00$ feet; thence N $69^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 152.19$ feet; thence N $71^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 72.84$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 76^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 44 " \mathrm{E}, 72.15$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 09^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 92.49$ feet; thence $S 11^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ E, 56.00 feet; thence $S 78^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2.28$ feet; thence $S 11^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 92.87$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 76^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 40.57$ feet; thence $S$ $26^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 175.00$ feet; thence S $63^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 16.75$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 26^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 011^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 121.31$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 68^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 39.75 feet; thence S $58^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 160.55$ feet; thence S $63^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 344.89$ feet; thence S $00^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 681.13$ feet along the west side of lands described Document No. 2683374 and corrected in Document No. 2771426; thence S $89^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ E, 13.39 feet along the north line of lands described in Quit Claim Deed as Document No. 4419456; thence S $00^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ E, 629.65 feet along the east line of said lands described in Document No. 4419456; thence N $89^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 57{ }^{\prime \prime}$ W, 583.11 feet along the south line of said lands described in Document No. 4419456 also being the south line of Lot 2, Dane County Certified Survey Map Number 5690 (C.S.M. No. 5690); thence N $00^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ W, 277.53 feet along a westerly line of said Lot 2, C.S.M. No. 5690; thence S $89^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ W, 328.90 feet along a southerly line of Lot 1, said C.S.M. No. 5690; thence N $00^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 288.48 feet along a westerly line of said Lot 1, C.S.M. No. 5690 ; thence N $89^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 167.57$ feet along a southerly line of said Lot 1, C.S.M. No. 5690 ; thence N $00^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 19$ " W, 179.85 feet along the said Easterly right-of-way of County Trunk Highway "Q" to the Point of Beginning.

And Also INCLUDING, Part of Lot 2, Certified Survey Map No. 2833, recorded In Volume 11 of Certified Surveys, pages 173 - 175 as Document No. 1573531 and other lands, all being located in part of the NE $1 / 4$ of the SE $1 / 4$ and also part of the SW $1 / 4$ and SE $1 / 4$ of the NE $1 / 4$ of Section 31, Township 8 North, Range 9 East, in the City of Middleton, Dane County, Wisconsin, described as follows:

Commencing at the South Quarter Corner of said Section 31; thence N $00^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime}$ W, 2650.95 feet along the West line of the said SE $1 / 4$; thence S $89^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 00 \mathrm{E}, 80.28$ feet to the intersection with the easterly right-of-way of County Trunk Highway "Q" also being the northwest corner of Dane County Certified Survey Map No. 13142, recorded in Vol. 84 of Certified Surveys, pages 198-201 as Document No. 4780454 ; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ E, 10.65 feet along the said easterly right-of-way of County Trunk Highway "Q"; thence S $89^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime}$ E, 627.85 feet along the north line of Outlot 4, The Community of Bishops Bay, The Back Nine - Phase 1 to the Point of Beginning; thence N00 $21^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 160.43$ feet; thence N89 $38^{\prime}$ $28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 110.00$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 166.05$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 33^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 201.21$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 57^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 113.91$ feet; thence N59 ${ }^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 100.00$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 61^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 114.62$ feet; thence N71 ${ }^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 144.47$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 78^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 54 " \mathrm{E}, 145.66$ feet; thence S $55^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 143.12$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 30^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 92.12$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 36^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 96.77$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 74^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 377^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 76.92$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 20^{\circ} 18^{\prime}$
 feet; thence S26 ${ }^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 110.00$ feet; thence S $25^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 50.01$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 26^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 130.00$ feet; thence $663^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 192.96$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 68^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 98.42$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 26^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 121.31$ feet along an easterly line of said plat of The Community of Bishops Bay, The Back Nine - Phase 1; all of the following courses being along easterly and northerly sides of the said plat of The Community of Bishops Bay, The Back Nine - Phase 1; thence N63 $04^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 16.75$ feet; thence N26 $55^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 175.00$ feet; thence N76 $39^{\prime} 188^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 40.57 feet; thence N11 $10^{\prime}$ $51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 92.87$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 78^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 2.28$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 11^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 56.00$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 09^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 92.49$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S}^{\prime} 76^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ $44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 72.15$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 71^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 72.84$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 69^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 152.19$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 89^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 56.00$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 00^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 13.89 feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 89^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 95.00$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 00^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 14.67$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 89^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 146.00$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 00^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 2.31$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 89^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 110.00$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2.50$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 110.00$ feet to the Point of Beginning.

And Also INCLUDING, Part of Lot 2, Certified Survey Map No. 2833, recorded in Volume 11 of Certified Surveys, Pages 173-175, as Document No. 1573531 and Other Lands, all being located in part of the NE $1 / 4$ of the NE $1 / 4$, the SW $1 / 4$ of the NE $1 / 4$, the SE $1 / 4$ of the NE $1 / 4$, the NE $1 / 4$ of the SE $1 / 4$ of Section 31 and also part of the NW $1 / 4$ of the NW $1 / 4$ and the SW $1 / 4$ of the NW $1 / 4$ of Section 32 , All in Tpvnship \& North 28

Range 9 East, City Of Middleton, Dane County, Wisconsin, described as follows:
Commencing at the South Quarter Corner of said Section 31; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2650.95$ feet along the North-South quarter line of said Section 31 ; thence S $89^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 00$ E, 80.28 feet to the intersection with the easterly right-of-way of County Trunk Highway "Q" also being the northwest corner of Dane County Certified Survey Map No. 13142, recorded in Volume 84 of Certified Surveys, Pages 198-201, as Document No. 4780454 ; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 10.65$ feet along said easterly right-of-way of County Trunk Highway "Q"; thence S $89^{\circ} 577^{\prime} 36$ " E, 627.85 feet along the north line of Outlot 4, The Community of Bishops Bay, The Back Nine - Phase 1 to the intersection with the west right-of-way of Bishops Bay Parkway; thence N $00^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 160.43$ feet along said west right-of-way; thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 110.00$ feet to the intersection with the west line of Lot 46, The Community of Bishops Bay, The Back Nine - Phase 2; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 166.05$ feet along the west line of Lot 46 and Lot 47 , The Community of Bishops Bay, The Back Nine - Phase 2 to the Point of Beginning; thence $\mathrm{N} 00^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 236.12$ feet to a point of curvature; thence Northeasterly 165.30 feet along the arc of a curve to the right, having a radius of 984.00 feet and a chord bearing $\mathrm{N} 04^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ E, 165.10 feet; thence N $59^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 317.08$ feet; thence N $71^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 160.34$ feet; thence N $90^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 234.65$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 55^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 288.92 feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 90^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 272.98$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 76^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 225.16$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 72^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 280.00$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 18^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 182.82 feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 51^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 105.19$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 25^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 180.24$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 02^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 359.05$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 43^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 241.75 feet; thence N $66^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 510.00$ feet; thence S $21^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 65.56 feet; thence S $31^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 250.69$ feet; thence S $69^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 154.46 feet; thence S $02^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ W, 399.51 feet; thence S $25^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 73.58 feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 48^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 108.12$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 01^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 90.99$ feet; thence S $45^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 60.71$ feet; thence N $85^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 83.25$ feet; thence S $21^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 45.07$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 73^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 28.36 feet; thence S $61^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 133.66$ feet; thence S $72^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 88.44$ feet; thence S $17^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 165.00$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime} 72^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 5.45 feet; thence S $17^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 56.00$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 18^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 92.98$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 03^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 10.12$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 05^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 121.00$ feet; thence S $84^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 14.53$ feet; thence S $05^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 56.00$ feet; thence S $07^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 239.83$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 15^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 50.04 feet; thence S $02^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ E, 167.59 feet; thence N $85^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ W, 25.37 feet; thence $S 45^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 75.94$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 63^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 278.19$ feet; thence $S$ $85^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 173.94$ feet; thence S $76^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 83.16$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 63^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 104.28$ feet to the southeast corner of Lot 63 , The Community of Bishops Bay, The Back Nine - Phase 2; all of the following courses being along the easterly and northerly sides of said plat of The Community of Bishops Bay, The Back Nine - Phase 2; thence N $26^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ W, 130.00 feet; thence N $25^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 50.01$ feet; thence N $26^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ W, 110.00 feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 63^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 17.72$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 09^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 231.46$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 05^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 56.00$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 84^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 12.36 feet; thence N $20^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ E, 150.00 feet; thence N $74^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ W, 76.92 feet; thence N $36^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 96.77$ feet; thence N $30^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ W, 92.12 feet; thence N $55^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 143.12$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 78^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 145.66$ feet; thence S $71^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 144.47$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 61^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 114.62 feet; thence S $59^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 100.00$ feet; thence S $57^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 113.91 feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 33^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 201.21 feet to the Point of Beginning.

And Also INCLUDING, Part of the SE $1 / 4$ of the NE $1 / 4$ and the NE $1 / 4$ of the SE $1 / 4$ of Section 31 and also part of the SW $1 / 4$ of the NW $1 / 4$ and the NW $1 / 4$ of the SW $1 / 4$ of Section 32, All in Township 8 North, Range 9 East, City Of Middleton, Dane County, Wisconsin, described as follows:

Commencing at the East Quarter Corner of said Section 31; thence $\mathrm{N} 89^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 83.51$ feet along the east-west quarter line of said Section 31 to the intersection with the east line of Lot 111 of The Community of Bishops Bay, The Back Nine - Phase 3, recorded in Volume 60-036B of Plats of Dane County, on Pages 180-183, as Document Number 5162100; thence S $02^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ E, 2.93 feet along the east line of said Lot 111 to the southeast corner thereof and the Point of Beginning; the following fourteen courses being along the east lines and the north lines of said plat of The Community of Bishops Bay, The Back Nine - Phase 3; thence N $02^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ W, 167.59 feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 15^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ E, 50.04 feet; thence N $07^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 239.83$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 05^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 56.00$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 84^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 14.53$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 05^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 121.00 feet; thence N $03^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 10.12$ feet; thence N $18^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 92.98$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 17^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 56.00$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 72^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 5.45$ feet; thence N $17^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ W, 165.00 feet; thence N $72^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 88.44$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 61^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 133.66$ feet; thence $\mathrm{N} 73^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 186.80$ feet; thence S $77^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 168.79$ feet; thence S $67^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 111.61$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 29^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 565.65$ feet; thence $\mathrm{S} 25^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 180.43$ feet; thence S $12^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ W, 185.41 feet; thence S $39^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ W, 185.41 feet; thence S $71^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 206.66$ feet; thence N $85^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 590.37 feet to the Point of Beginning.

The purpose of the proposed GIP amendment is to change Map \#3 to add approximately 7 acres of land that was recently dedicated to the City for parkland to the neighborhood boundary for the Back Nine neighborhood. This amendment would effectively allow an additional nine (9) units to be built in the Back Nine neighborhood, bringing the total to 342, for a density of 3.90 units per acre. The current approved density is 4.2 units per acre, with a total unit count of 333. The total number of residential units approved for the overall Community of Bishops Bay project is 2,950 units, and that is not proposed to increase.

At the hearing, all interested persons will have an opportunity to be heard, and final action will be considered.

More information about this request is available at City Hall during normal business hours, 7:45 am to $4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$, Monday through Friday. Ph. 821-8370 E-mail: ekelley@cityofmiddleton.us.

## EILEEN KELLEY

City Planning Director and Zoning Administrator


OFFICE OF PLANNING \& ZONING ADMINISTRATION

7426 HUBBARD AVENUE
MIDDLETON, WI 53562-3118

TO: Plan Commission members
FROM: Eileen M. Kelley, AICP, City Planning Director
RE: Community of Bishops Bay, Back Nine, Proposed Map \#3 General Implementation Plan (GIP) Amendment and Proposed Notre Dame Project

DATE: March 13, 2018
Items on the March 13, 2018 Plan Commission agenda
The proposed GIP amendment (public hearing held by the Plan Commission in November 2017) would increase the boundary of the Back Nine neighborhood to include approximately 7 acres of parkland that has been dedicated as part of the platting for the Back Nine neighborhood. The General Implementation Plan for the project states that "Densities were calculated based on neighborhood boundaries as shown in Map \#3 (which means densities include right-of-way and some parks)." If the GIP amendment is approved, an additional 8 units would be allowed in the neighborhood, for a total of 341 units, and the overall density would be reduced from 4.2 units per acre to 3.9 units per acre.

To date, the Plan Commission has taken no action on the proposed GIP amendment. The Plan Commission did give concept approval (which is not binding on the City) to the proposed Notre Dame project at their meeting of September 26, 2017, with the following motion:

> Moved by Paulsen, seconded by Dorn, to recommend conditional concept approval subject to resolution of all of the issues including density, water capacity, and access in the Back Nine neighborhood, and that lot 3 be rotated as suggested by Reed.

Motion carried 5-2 with Zellers and Hubbard opposed.

The two items before the Plan Commission this evening are the request for the GIP amendment and the proposed Specific Implementation Plan for the Notre Dame project, consisting of 4 duplex condos (total of 8 units).

## History of the Back Nine/Masters Neighborhood

The Back Nine neighborhood was platted/developed in 4 phases. One phase was the Masters apartment project, which includes the clubhouse and related facilities and 163 apartments in a total of 3 apartment buildings. At the Plan Commission meeting of April 14, 2015, the Plan Commission approved the following motion:

Following discussion, moved by Wexler, seconded by Hilbert, to conceptually approve the plan for a total of 163 units in 3 buildings, recognizing that each building will require design approval, and will need to provide sufficient parking as determined by the City, and this will
complete the number of units allowed in the Back Nine neighborhood according to the approved zoning. This is also referred to the Middleton/Westport Joint Zoning Committee for concurrence. The certified survey map is also approved contingent on resolution of all staff recommendations and on concurrence by the Joint Committee. Motion carried 6-0.

Staff is aware that the developer feels he "removed" or "lost" a 4 th building for the Masters project when the project received approval, but the number of units in the 3 approved buildings was at the maximum units/density allowed by the previously approved General Implementation Plan. It was stated at the April 14, 2015 meeting by staff that if a subsequent request to add more units was ever submitted it would be considered a rezoning request (GIP amendment). There was no mention or discussion of additional units by the developer at that time.

## Middleton/Westport Joint Zoning Committee

In May of 2015, the Middleton/Westport Joint Zoning Committee discussed the Masters project and below is an excerpt from the meeting minutes with the motion of approval and conditions:

Moved by Elskamp, seconded by Dorn, to concur with the City of Middleton Plan Commission approvals and conditions of approval for the Specific Implementation Plan and design review, and the Certified Survey Map (CSM) and landscape easement as revised for the Back Nine Residences." The conditions of approval include:

- Resolution of staff comments including engineering staff review of plans dated 4/13/15.
- Provision of a landscaping plan showing additional landscaping along the southeast side of Building 2 along the terraced areas.
- The development and acceptance by the MWJZC and the PC of a tree inventory, management plan, and a permanent landscaping easement or restrictive covenant (in substantially similar form as that presented at the $5 / 27$ JZC meeting and subject to approval by the Town and City attorneys) for the wooded area in the property southwest of the cul-de-sac of Callaway Court and verification of the tree line by the City and Town Engineers.
- Satisfaction of the conditions attached to the approval of the certified survey map (CSM).
- Submittal of updated documents with updated titles.
- Satisfaction of fire access routes as required by the Middleton Fire Department
- Compliance with all applicable standards for indoor and surface lot parking stalls
- Any other previously approved contingencies.

The Middleton/Westport Joint Zoning Committee has discussed the proposed Notre Dame project at several meetings, most recently on February 22, 2018, when they took no action but made comments including:
"There is no compelling reason for the change; the proposed change is not consistent with the approved Specific Implementation Plan; this could be precedent setting; and the access to the site is difficult."








# MINUTES <br> MIDDLETON PLAN COMMISSION TUESDAY MARCH 13, 2018 7:00 P.M. 

PRESENT: Brar, Olson, Hubbard, Paulsen, Reed, Zellers
ABSENT: Dorn
ALSO PRESENT: Kelley, Opitz, Attoun
Chair Brar called the meeting to order at 7:03 p.m.

## 1. Minutes of 2-27-18

Moved by Hubbard, seconded by Paulsen, to approve the minutes of 2-27-18. Motion carried 6-0.
2. Design Review - Willy Street Co-op Façade Alteration, 6825 University Ave. (PC 2231)

Moved by Hubbard, seconded by Zellers, to give design review approval to the changes as submitted. Motion carried 6-0.

## 3. Public Hearing - Request for Rezoning from Office District (B-1) to Planned Development District - General Implementation Plan (PDD-GIP), Austringer Mixed Use Development, 6814, 6818, 6824 University Ave. (PC 2535)

Chair Brar opened the public hearing at 7:06 p.m.
Mark Opitz noted the staff report that was included in the meeting packet. Anthony Gray, applicant, and Marc Ott, architect for the project, presented information about the project. Although the application submitted was for 38 apartments and $2,367 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of commercial use, the developer is now requesting 39 units. Staff noted that the additional unit would require another public hearing.

Greg Niesen, owner of the building at 6810 University Ave., adjacent to the project on the east, said he feels the front setback for the proposed new building should be set back at least as far as the 30 foot front setback required in a B-1 zoning district. He is not opposed to the development he is just concerned about the size of the building and the traffic flow.

Staff noted Michael Weidler and Moriah Vignali, 2021 Park St., submitted an email in opposition to the rezoning, and distributed a copy.

Buzz Menz, property owner at 6904 University Ave., adjacent to the development on the west, stated that he supports the project.

Yuly Shih, 2130 Wood Rd., co-owner of property at 6825 Franklin Ave., spoke in opposition to the project. She is concerned with traffic and stormwater runoff as well as the scale of the building.

Brian Bram 2130 Wood Rd., co-owner of property at 6825 Franklin Ave., registered in opposition to the project and stated concern for the height of the building.

Van Nutt, Middleton Chamber of Commerce Executive Director, said this is a good project but the building to the east will lose some visibility and perhaps there are changes to the design that could help with this visibility while also adding to the overall design of the building. He stated this is likely the first of many projects in this corridor and this project will set the tone and standard for future projects.

Sharon Brummel, 6222 Lakeview Blvd., who registered in opposition, asked if the developer had done market studies, as there are apartments available in the corridor. Anthony Gray stated that they had worked with Baker Tilly who completed a report on the feasibility.

Chair Brar closed the public hearing at 7:48 p.m.

## 4. Public Hearing - Request for Conditional Use Permit and Specific Implementation Plan (SIP) Modification - Middleton Gymnastics Academy, LLC, 8330 Forsythia St. (PC 2545)

Chair Brar opened the public hearing at 7:48 p.m., and there being no public comment immediately closed the hearing.

## 5. Public Hearing - Request for Conditional Use Permit - LYCON, Inc., 8125 University Ave. (deferred from 2/13/18) (PC 2540)

Chair Brar opened the public hearing at 7:49 p.m.
Opitz explained that the City's Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) held a public hearing earlier in the day to consider two zoning variances for the project-one from the minimum side setback requirement on the Industrial-zoned portion of the property, the other from the maximum height regulation on the B3-zoned portion. The ZBA granted both variances at their meeting.
G.R. Lyons, with Lycon, explained how the project will assist the business with obtaining materials, and will not increase volume of business or expand hours of operation. He said $50 \%$ to $70 \%$ of truck traffic will be eliminated on days when the trains are operating. He said the proposed project will allow the business to utilize train operations to reduce truck traffic and noise.

Kurt Paulsen stated if there is no new building for aggregate supplies, there could still be a pile of materials and that would likely generate more noise and dust.

Susan West, Common Council president, asked how much dust will be generated by the proposed project.
G.R. Lyons stated that the proposed operation is a closed system, with material that has up to a $5 \%$ moisture content, enclosed in a conveyor system, so no additional dust or noise will be generated, and the site is vacuumed each week and noise will be decreased by the project.

Bill White, consultant for the applicant, indicated that Lycon utilizes best practices in their operations.

Commission member David Reed indicated that enhancement of the proposed building will be an important consideration.

Staff had forwarded an email from an adjacent business owner, Tom Walzer, earlier in the day, in opposition to the conditional use permit, and distributed a copy at the meeting.

Chair Brar closed the public hearing at 8:08 p.m.

## 6. Request for Rezoning from Office District (B-1) to Planned Development District - General Implementation Plan (PDD-GIP), Austringer Mixed Use Development, 6814, 6818, 6824 University Ave. (PC 2535)

In addition to the staff report he mentioned during the public hearing portion of the meeting, Opitz stated that there had been a suggestion from a Commission member to "step back" the building to three stories at University Ave.

Zellers asked if issues like that can be worked out at the Specific Implementation Plan (SIP) stage once a General Implementation Plan (GIP) is approved. Staff indicated that the standards are set by the GIP so the issues/standards that must still be worked out at the SIP stage should be listed as conditions of any GIP approval.

Paulsen asked about parking requirements and if the number of parking stalls could be reduced given that the project is on a bus route. Anthony Gray stated that they are required to have a certain number of parking spaces by their lender, however, he is reviewing the potential for a shared car for the development in addition to a bicycle room as a part of the project.

Reed said he thinks a three story building at the street is enough, and encouraged the applicant to step back the building at the street edge.

Anthony Gray said they have used design features to make it look less imposing, and they would lose two units if they stepped the building back.

Moved by Paulsen, seconded by Zellers, to recommend approval of the General Implementation Plan and rezoning to the Common Council for a 38 unit apartment building with $2,367 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of ground-level commercial space with B-1 uses contingent on the following issues being resolved to the City's satisfaction:

- The proposed commercial use is limited to B-1 permitted uses, and B-1 conditional uses could be permitted through the normal Conditional Use approval process.
- Resolution of the building height, with the consideration to step back the building to three stories at the street edge.
- Site access for emergency responders.
- The shortage (by 3 ) of standard dimension parking stalls within the building.
- The design and "shared use" of loading/unloading areas around the accessible stalls.
- Successful completion of the traffic impact analysis and requirement to comply with the findings of the analysis.
- Water Resources and engineering approval of the proposed site plan and standards.
- Substantial berming and screening of the project for areas of the site adjacent to residential properties.
- Building design, landscaping, lighting, stormwater management, and all other site and building design details to be resolved and approved as part of the Specific Implementation Plan.
Motion carried 6-0.

Because the applicant would like to pursue having 39 apartments, moved by Paulsen, seconded by Olson to set a public hearing for April 10 to consider a rezoning to 39 units instead of 38. Motion carried 6-0.
7. Request for Conditional Use Permit and Specific Implementation Plan (SIP) Modification Middleton Gymnastics Academy, LLC, 8330 Forsythia St. (PC 2545)

Moved by Hubbard, seconded by Zellers, to approve the conditional use permit and Specific Implementation Plan. Motion carried 6-0.
8. Concept Plan - Madison Golf \& Development Group, 8021 Forsythia St. (PC 2546)

Moved by Zellers, seconded by Paulsen, to approve the concept plan. Motion carried 6-0.
9. Request for Conditional Use Permit - LYCON, Inc., 8125 University Ave. (deferred from 2/13/18) (PC 2540)

Staff noted that the Conditional Use being requested by Lycon is both for a height above 35 feet in an Industrial District and a manufacturing use in a B-3 District.

Plan Commission members discussed the proposed project and an email that had been received from the owner of an adjacent business, Tom Walzer. Paulsen said he could appreciate their concern but this is an existing business.

Moved by Reed, seconded by Paulsen, to approve the conditional use permit subject to the final building design to be approved by the Plan Commission, recognizing that this project will not increase noise or dust, and lighting must comply with the City's ordinance. Motion carried 6-0.

## 10. Specific Implementation Plan (SIP) Modification for Comprehensive Sign Plan Revisions 8215 Greenway Blvd. (PC 2078)

Following discussion, moved by Paulsen, seconded by Zellers, to approve the comprehensive sign plan as submitted with the additional provision suggested by Reed, to limit the letter size to no more than $75 \%$ of the façade band where signage is allowed. Motion carried 6-0.
11. Specific Implementation Plan (SIP) Modification for Design Review - Solar Project at Middleton Municipal Airport, OneEnergy Renewables, 8300 Airport Rd. (PC 2522)

Moved by Olson, seconded by Hubbard, to approve the Specific Implementation Plan as a minor modification. Motion carried 6-0.
12. Certified Survey Map (CSM) - T5 Real Estate Solutions, LLC/Strategic Behavioral Health, 3169 Deming Way (PC 2542)

Moved by Hubbard, seconded by Zellers, to approve the Certified Survey Map contingent on resolution of the floodplain change. Reed suggested it would be better to address this CSM following discussion of the next two agenda items. Motion failed, 2-4, with Hubbard and Zellers voting for the motion. Moved by Paulsen, seconded by Olson, to reconsider this CSM after the next two agenda items. Motion carried 6-0.

## 13. Concept Review - Strategic Behavioral Health Psychiatric Hospital, 3169 Deming Way (PC 2542)

Attoun gave a brief update on a neighborhood meeting that had been held the day before to discuss this proposed use. The applicants provided information about the proposed project and how it will operate. Following discussion by the Plan Commission, moved by Hubbard, seconded by Olson, to conceptually approve the use, recognizing that there will need to be a public hearing. Motion carried 5-1, with Reed opposed.

## 14. Concept Review - Request for Tax Incremental District \#3 (TID \#3) Assistance - Strategic Behavioral Health Psychiatric Hospital, 3169 Deming Way (PC 2542)

Attoun presented information on City staff's recommendation for $\$ 1,188,200$ in developer financed Tax Incremental Financing assistance for eligible items including soil remediation. The staff recommendation includes a proposed split of $55 \%$ of the increment generated for the developer financed portion (up to the maximum recommended) and $45 \%$ to the general TID \#3 District. Moved by Hubbard, seconded by Zellers, to recommend TID \#3 developer financed assistance to the Common Council as recommended by City staff. Motion carried 5-1, with Reed opposed.
15. Certified Survey Map (CSM) - T5 Real Estate Solutions, LLC/Strategic Behavioral Health, 3169 Deming Way (PC 2542)

Moved by Paulsen, seconded by Olson, to reconsider the Certified Survey Map for 3169 Deming Way. Motion carried 6-0. Moved by Paulsen, seconded by Olson, to approve the Certified Survey Map contingent on resolution of the floodplain changes and resolution of staff recommendations. Motion carried 5-1, with Reed opposed.
16. Set a Public Hearing - Proposed General Implementation Plan Amendment, Airport Rd. Business Park, Lots 2 \& 3, Add Hospital as a Conditional Use (PC 2542)

Moved by Zellers, seconded by Hubbard, to set Tuesday, April 10, 2018, at 7:05 p.m. as a public hearing to consider this request for a General Implementation Plan Amendment. Motion carried 60 .
17. Set a Public Hearing - Request for Conditional Use Permit for a Hospital, Airport Rd. Business Park, Lots 2 \& 3 - Strategic Behavioral Health Psychiatric Hospital, 3169 Deming Way (PC 2542)

Moved by Zellers, seconded by Hubbard, to set Tuesday, April 10, 2018, at 7:10 p.m. as a public hearing to consider this request for a conditional use permit. Motion carried 6-0.

## 18. Proposed General Implementation Plan (GIP) Amendment, The Community of Bishops Bay, The Back Nine Neighborhood, Increased Density (Amending Map \#3) (PC 2189)

Plan Commission members discussed this proposed General Implementation Plan Amendment to allow an additional 8 units in the Back Nine neighborhood. The total number of units in the entire Community of Bishops Bay would remain the same, at 2,950, so 8 units will have to be eliminated from another neighborhood in the future.

Staff noted that the Middleton/Westport Joint Zoning Committee met on February 22, 2018 to discuss this request and although they took no action, had a consensus that "There is no compelling reason for the change; the proposed change is not consistent with the approved Specific Implementation Plan; this could be precedent setting; and the access to the site is difficult." Staff also noted that at least one member from Westport has previously said that since the project will be in the City, the City would obviously have the final decision on whether to approve the project.

Kelley distributed a memo she had written to outline some of the actions to date on this parcel and this request. The Plan Commission held a public hearing on this proposed GIP amendment in November of 2017, and deferred action on the request.

Following additional discussion, moved by Paulsen, seconded by Olson, to recommend approval to the Common Council of the GIP amendment for an additional 8 units as shown on the latest Notre Dame site plan, subject to the conditions as outlined by staff, including the requirement that the developer must design a water service for the project that meets state and City ordinances and requirements and obtains all appropriate approvals including City approval; a secondary emergency access to the 8 condo units must be approved by the Fire District; protection of the trees in the existing tree easement area; fire district approval of the layout; and all other City ordinances met. Motion carried 4-2, with Hubbard and Zellers opposed.

## 19. Proposed Notre Dame Village at The Back Nine, The Community of Bishops Bay, Lot 4 of CSM 14030 (PC 2508)

No action was required. If the General Implementation Plan is approved by the City, a Specific Implementation Plan will need to be submitted to the City and the Middleton/Westport Joint Zoning Committee for approval.

## 20. Certified Survey Map (CSM) - The Community of Bishops Bay, Lots 111 \& 112, Phase 3 (PC 2547)

Moved by Hubbard, seconded by Paulsen, to approve the Certified Survey Map contingent on resolution of staff recommendations. Motion carried 6-0.

## 21. Five Year Street Improvement Plan

Moved by Reed, seconded by Olson, to defer this item. Motion carried 6-0.
Moved by Olson, seconded by Reed, to adjourn. Motion carried 6-0 and the meeting adjourned at 10:29 p.m.

## EILEEN KELLEY
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[^0]:    Note: These minutes were prepared by Eileen Kelley, Planning Director. These minutes are based on the notes of the recorder and are subject to change at a subsequent meeting.

